Case 2:12-cr-00015-RCJ-GWF Document 33 Filed 12/10/12 Page 1 of 4

Filed 07/18/12 Page 1 of 4 Case 2:12-cr-00015-RCJ-GWF Document 31 FILED RECEIVED **ENTERED** SERVED ON COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD DANIEL G. BOGDEN United States Attorney DEC 1 0 2012 MICHAEL A. HUMPHREYS Assistant United States Attorney 333 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 5000 3 CLERK US DISTRICT COURT Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 DISTRICT OF NEVADA Telephone: 702-388-6518 4 BY: DEPUTY Facsimile: 702-388-6787 michael.humphreys@usdoj.gov 5 6 Attorneys for the United States. 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 11 Case No. 2:12-CR-015-RCJ-(GWF) Plaintiff, 12 ٧. 13 JOSHUA A. ADCOCK, 14 Defendant. 15 16 United States' Motion To Amend Judgment 17 18 **Background and Facts** COMES NOW the United States of America, by and through the United States 19 Attorney for the District of Nevada, and moves this Court, pursuant to Rule 36, Fed. R. 20 Crim. P., to amend the order of Judgment and Commitment that this court entered in the 21 above-captioned case on June 26, 2012. 22 In this case, the defendant was indicted for manufacture of a controlled substance, 23 in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) and being a felon in possession of a firearm in 24

violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1). On February 2, 2012, the defendant pled guilty to

both counts of the information. At the defendant's sentencing hearing, on June 8, 2012,

this Court signed a final order of forfeiture forfeiting several firearms to the United States

of America. This Court also signed a standard "Judgment In A Criminal Case" form that

25

26

27

28

imposed various other penal sanctions on the defendant, including a 72-month term of incarceration.

Typically, during the course of a sentencing hearing, Government counsel will request, or the Court will declare, *sua sponte*, that the forfeiture order will be appended to, and made a part of, the signed Judgment and Commitment. This practice is consistent with the mandate of Rule 32.2 (b)(4)(B), Fed. R. Crim. P., which states that the Court "must also include the forfeiture order, directly or by reference, in the judgment, but the Court's failure to do so may be corrected at any time under Rule 36." While it was (and is) the intent of the Government that this Court make the order of forfeiture a part of the Judgment and Commitment, apparently no such statement of incorporation was made at the sentencing by the Court or counsel.

The straightforward provisions of Rule 36, Fed. R. Crim. P., provide that: "After giving any notice it considers appropriate, the court may <u>at any time</u> correct a clerical error in a judgment, order or other part of the record, or correct an error in the record arising from oversight or omission." (emphasis added).¹

That it was this Court's intent to impose a forfeiture sanction against the defendant is apparent from its execution of the Order of Forfeiture. However, to give that independent order the force and effect of a judgment, it should, as Rule 32.2 requires, be incorporated by reference, as well as by physical attachment, to the Judgment and Commitment form.

In addition, at the bottom of the "Schedule of Payments" page of the Judgement and Commitment, we request that this Court check the box that denotes the following language: "The defendant shall forfeit the defendant's interest in the following property to the United States:" Underneath that checked box we request that the

¹Consistent with standard practice, the United States will serve defendant's counsel with a copy of this motion to satisfy the notice requirement embodied in Rule 36.

Case 2:12-cr-00015-RCJ-GWF Document 33 Filed 12/10/12 Page 3 of 4

Case 2:12-cr-00015-RCJ-GWF Document 31 Filed 07/18/12 Page 3 of 4

following language be included:

"See attached Order of Forfeiture"

Because Rule 36 gives this Court expansive authority to correct a clerical error or oversight; because it was the intent of this Court and the Government that the firearms described in the information, the plea agreement, the preliminary order of forfeiture and the final order of forfeiture be forfeited to the United States; and because it will serve the ends of justice to make a correction to the Judgment and Commitment, as described above, that complies with the requirements of Rule 36, the United States requests that the Judgment and Commitment be modified accordingly and that a copy of the Order of Forfeiture be appended thereto...

WHEREFORE, the United States request, that its motion, in its entirety, be granted.

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED this 10th day of December, 2012.

ROBERT C. JONES

Case 2:12-cr-00015-RCJ-GWF Document 33 Filed 12/10/12 Page 4 of 4

Case 2:12-cr-00015-RCJ-GWF Document 31 Filed 07/18/12 Page 4 of 4

1	PROOF OF SERVICE
2	I, Michael A. Humphreys, AUSA, certify that the following individual was served with the UNITED STATES' MOTION TO AMEND JUDGMENTon this date by the below identified
3	method of service:
4	Electronic Case Filing:
5	John J. Momot
6	John J. Momot, Ltd.
7	John J. Momot, Ltd. 520 South 4 th St., Suite 300 Las Vegas, NV 89101 E-mail: <u>Momotlawfirm@gmail.com</u>
8	E-man. Momodawininggman.com
9	
10	
11	
12	DATED this 18th day of July 2012.
13	/s/ Michael Humphreys
14	MICHAEL A. HUMPHREYS Assistant United States Attorney
15	
16	·
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28